



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/034,654	12/26/2001	Scott R. Swix	BELL-0163/01330	1792
38952 7	590 12/28/2004		EXAMINER	
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP			BUI, KIEU OANH T	
ONE LIBERTY PLACE - 46TH FLOOR PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2611	

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
4 OF 1 F	10/034,654	SWIX ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	KIEU-OANH TBUI	2611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 August 2004</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 13-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 13-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	e stent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Remark

- 1. Claims 1-12 and 25-41 were canceled in the pre-amendment dated 4/21/04 (paper no.
- 16). Pending claims are now claims 13-24 for examination.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 13-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 13-17, 19-20, and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hendricks et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,160,989 or "Hendricks" hereinafter) in view of Blasko (US Patent Pub 2001/0049620 A1).

Regarding claim 13, Hendricks discloses "a method for inserting advertising content in broadcast programming, comprising the steps of gathering at a viewer device data identifying characteristics of a viewer that is confined to the viewer device; receiving at the viewer device advertising content; identifying at the viewer device advertising content corresponding to the confined characteristics of the viewer; receiving at the viewer device broadcast content; inserting at the viewer device into the broadcast content advertising content corresponding to the confined

Art Unit: 2611

characteristics of the viewer", i.e., based on customer profiles either gathered at the service center (Fig. 1, 12 & 17, and col. 29/line 40 to col. 30/line 27 for viewer profiles addressed) or at a set top terminal (at the viewing device) (see col. 29/line 60 to col. 30/line 27 as the viewer's demographics data stored at the set top terminal), targeted advertisement insertion can be provided to each individual customer/user (Figs. 17-19, 21-22; Fig. 12 and col. 32/lines 4-11 as based on the viewer's profile, the advertisement matching the characteristics of the viewer is inserting into the broadcast content for viewer to enjoy), see col. 34/line 16 to col. 35/line 64 for targeting advertisements to viewers based on their profiles at the service center; and section 5, col. 11/line 40 to col. 13/line 14 for a detailed description of a set top terminal together with its functions and capabilities).

Applicants argues that Hendricks collects the user profile and then sends it back to a network controller, and it does not meet the term "confined to the viewer device" for protecting privacy of the user/viewer; however, this technique is taught by Blasko as Blasko suggests that the user profile mainly stays at the local device or the set top device as well as the set top equipped with its own local profiler for the purpose of privacy protection (Blasko, page 9/section 0104). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hendricks' system with the disclosed feature as of Blasko in order to maintain the user profile at the local device instead of providing the information to the headend system for privacy concerned.

As for claim 14, in further view of claim 13 above, Hendricks discloses "wherein said step of gathering data identifying characteristics of a viewer comprises gathering data regarding at least one of the following: age; address; marital status; income; interests; hobbies; purchasing

Art Unit: 2611

habits; location; and television viewing habits" (col. 29/line 60 to col. 30/line 27 for a variety of viewer characteristics that the personal profile consists of demographic information).

As for claim 15, in further view of claim 13 above, Hendricks discloses "wherein the step of gathering data identifying characteristics of a viewer comprises the steps of identifying a plurality of categories into which broadcast programming may be grouped; and recording the frequency and duration with which the viewer is tuned to broadcast programming in each of said plurality of categories", i.e., different viewers with different categories can be grouped together for receiving different targeted advertisements and those frequency and duration are recording or stored in each personal viewer profile database (Fig. 12/item 314, col. 29/lines 34-51 & col. 30/lines 14-27; and Fig. 20a, col. 35/line 65 to col. 36/line 52 for group categories addressed).

As for claim 16, in further view of claim 13 above, Hendricks further discloses "wherein the step of identifying advertising content corresponding to the characteristics of the viewer comprises matching data identifying the target audience for advertising content to the characteristics of the viewer", i.e, advertisement scheduling database 324 inserts appropriate most interest or corresponding advertisements to viewers/users based on the matching data of the advertisement content to the characteristics of the viewer according to set top ID number (col. 31/lines 9-42).

As for claim 17, in further view of claim 13 above, Hendricks discloses "wherein said step of receiving broadcast content comprises receiving broadcast content from one of a direct to home satellite distribution network and a cable television network", i.e., a satellite distribution network and a cable television network are addressed to provide various sources of television programs to viewers (Figs 1-2, and col. 7/lines 10-34 & col. 8/lines 22-48).

Art Unit: 2611

As for claim 19, in further view of claim 13 above, Hendricks further discloses "comprising the step of storing advertising content for insertion into broadcast content at a later time", i.e., the operation center controls the advertisement insertion at will at different later times (col. 9/lines 15-25) and advertisement contents are stored in a database (Fig. 12/item 322 for an advertisement library).

As for claims 20, in further view of claim 13 above, Hendricks further discloses "wherein said step of receiving advertising content is performed simultaneously with said step of inserting into the broadcast content advertising content corresponding to the confined characteristics of a viewer", i.e., viewer receive advertising content at the same time as targeted advertisements are selected for displaying (Fig. 17, and col. 36/lines 12-30).

As for claim 22, in further view of claim 13 above, Hendricks discloses "a computer readable medium having stored thereon computer executable instructions for performing the method", i.e., computer software routines with corresponding algorithm are used to perform the task of targeting advertisements (col. 31/line 44 to col. 33/line 14 for software routines and PCI routine).

As for claim 23, in further view of claim 13 above, Hendricks discloses "comprising displaying broadcast content with advertising content matching the confined characteristics of the viewer inserted therein" (Fig. 17, and col. 34/line 15 to col. 36/line 52 for more details on this matter).

As for claim 24, in further view of claim 13 above, Hendricks discloses further "comprising gathering data identifying whether advertising content matching the confined

Art Unit: 2611

characteristics of the viewer has been displayed" (Fig. 17/item 470, and col. 36/lines 12-30 7 col. 29/line 40 to col. 30/line 27 for user profiles are used for targeting advertisements).

5. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hendricks et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,160,989) in view of Blasko as of claim 13 above and further in view of Hylton et al. (US Patent No. 5,630,204/ or "Hylton").

As for claims 18, in further view of claims 13 above, Hendricks and Blasko do not disclose "further comprising receiving advertising content from a digital subscriber line (DSL) broadband network" and "transmitting to the viewer the advertisement content corresponding to the characteristics of the viewer over a digital subscriber line broadband network"; however, Hendricks suggests that other communication media for digital transmission such as fiber optics and MPEG standards is used (col. 7/lines 29-64), and furthermore, Hylton teaches to include a digital subscriber line (DSL) broadband network for providing broadband services to viewers based on their profiles (Hylton, col. 2/lines 19-56, col. 6/lines 20-41, col. 8/lines 11-29, and col. 15/lines 34-46). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hendricks and Blasko's modified system with the inclusion of a digital subscriber line (DSL) broadband network as means for digital communications between the system and the subscriber for broadband interactive services such as video on demand, home shopping and so on as suggested by Hylton.

6. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hendricks et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,160,989) in view of Blasko as of claim 13 above and Flickinger et al. (U.S. Patent Application No. US2002/0083441 A1/ or "Flickinger").

As for claim 21, in further view of claim 13 above, Hendricks discloses a local insertion component 246, under the control and instructions of network controller 214, can detect the locations where and when to insert the advertising content corresponding to the characteristics of

Art Unit: 2611

the viewer (col. 21/line 35 to col. 22/line 37; and col. 29/line 60 to col 30/line 27 for viewer profile and advertisement content corresponding to viewer's profile are inserted and provided to the viewer, more at col. 32/lines 4-11), but Hendricks and Blasko do not discloses the step of comprising "detecting cue tones in the broadcast content identifying locations where advertising content may be inserted"; however, such a technique of using a cue tone detecting module for detecting a cue tone for identifying the locations where advertising content may be inserted is known in the art. In fact, Flickinger teaches an exact same technique to include a cue tone detecting module for detecting a cue tone for identifying the available locations where advertising content may be inserted (see Flickering, Fig. 9, and pages 7-8, sections 0093-0095). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Hendricks and Blasko's modified system with Flickering's teaching technique of including a cue tone detecting module before ad insertion for identifying the available locations where advertising content may be inserted in the proper timing.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed on 08/25/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants basically argue that Hendricks does not teach or suggest a technique of "gathering at a viewer device data ...that is confined to the viewer device" and "identifying at the viewing device advertising content corresponding to the characteristics of the viewer, and inserting at the viewing device into the broadcast content the advertising content matching the characteristics of the viewer that is <u>confined to the viewer device</u>" as cited in claims 13 by further states and gives a reason that Hendricks <u>then "sending it to a network controller at a cable hendend".</u>

Art Unit: 2611

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the viewer device data identifying characteristics of a viewer that is confined to the viewer device without reporting or sending it back to the headend) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Based on the previous interview with the applicant's representative, the Examiner does an extra step in bringing the teaching of Blasko as a courteous action to lighten up the issue about the viewer profile data "confined to the viewer device", but then again, the claim language of claim 13 still does not clearly point out the feature that the applicants are aiming for.

Therefore, the Examiner disagrees with the Applicants' arguments and stands with the disclosure and teaching of Hendricks, Blasko, Hylton, and Flickinger as disclosed and discussed in this Final Office action.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 2611

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VII., Clieth Floor (Receptionist).

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krista Kieu-Oanh Bui whose telephone number is (703) 305-0095. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 AM to 6:00 PM, with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Grant, can be reached on (703) 305-4755.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Krista Bui Art Unit 2611 December 22, 2004 KRISTA BUI
PATENT EXAMINER